arXiv:1704.01360v1 [math.FA] 5 Apr 2017

TWO MODIFIED PROXIMAL POINT ALGORITHMS IN GEODESIC SPACES WITH CURVATURE BOUNDED ABOVE

YASUNORI KIMURA AND FUMIAKI KOHSAKA

Abstract. We obtain existence and convergence theorems on two variants of the proximal point algorithm for proper lower semicontinuous convex functions in complete geodesic spaces with curvature bounded above.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of sequences generated by two variants of the proximal point algorithm for proper lower semicontinuous convex functions in admissible complete CAT(1) spaces. We focus not only on the convergence of the sequences to minimizers of functions but also on the equivalence between the boundedness of them and the existence of minimizers. Applications to convex minimization problems in complete CAT(κ) spaces with a positive real number κ are also included.

The proximal point algorithm introduced by Martinet [26] and studied more generally by Rockafellar [29] is an iterative method for finding zero points of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces. This algorithm has a wide range of applications including convex minimization problems, variational inequality problems, minimax problems, and equilibrium problems.

For a proper lower semicontinuous convex function f of a Hilbert space H into $]-\infty,\infty]$, the proximal point algorithm generates a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_1 \in H$ and

$$x_{n+1} = J_{\lambda_n f} x_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers and $J_{\lambda_n f}$ is the resolvent of $\lambda_n f$ defined by

$$J_{\lambda_n f} x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y \in H} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} \|y - x\|^2 \right\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in H$. See also [6, 33] for more details on convex analysis in Hilbert spaces.

The celebrated theorem by Rockafellar [29, Theorem 1] implies the following existence and weak convergence theorems on the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1). If $\inf_n \lambda_n > 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded if and only if the set $\operatorname{argmin}_H f$ of all minimizers of f is nonempty. Further, in this case, $\{x_n\}$ is weakly convergent to an element of $\operatorname{argmin}_H f$. Brézis and Lions [7, Théorème 9] also showed that $\{x_n\}$ is weakly convergent to an element of $\operatorname{argmin}_H f$ if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\operatorname{argmin}_H f$ is nonempty.

Date: April 6, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 52A41, 90C25; Secondary: 47H10, 47J05.

Key words and phrases. CAT(1) space, convex function, fixed point, geodesic space, minimizer, proximal point algorithm, resolvent.

Güler [13, Corollary 5.1] found an example showing that $\{x_n\}$ is not necessarily strongly convergent. Later, two different types of strongly convergent proximal-type algorithms in Hilbert spaces were proposed by Solodov and Svaiter [31] and Kamimura and Takahashi [15].

On the other hand, a CAT(κ) space is a geodesic metric space such that every geodesic triangle in it satisfies the CAT(κ) inequality, where κ is a real number. A complete CAT(0) space is particularly called a Hadamard space. Since the concept of CAT(κ) spaces includes several fundamental spaces, the fixed point theory and the convex optimization theory in such spaces have been increasingly important.

In the 1990s, Jost [14] and Mayer [27] generalized the concept of resolvents of convex functions to Hadamard spaces. According to [5, Section 2.2], [14, Lemma 2], and [27, Section 1.3], if f is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function of a Hadamard space X into $]-\infty,\infty]$, then the resolvent J_f of f given by

$$J_f x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y\in X}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2}d(y,x)^2\right\} \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x\in X$ is a single-valued nonexpansive mapping of X into itself. In this case, the set $\mathcal{F}(J_f)$ of all fixed points of J_f coincides with $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. See also [5] on convex analysis in Hadamard spaces.

In 2013, Bačák [4] generalized the classical theorem by Brézis and Lions [7, Théorème 9] to Hadamard spaces. A special case of this result was also found by Ariza-Ruiz, Leuştean, and López-Acedo [3, Corollary 6.6].

Theorem 1.1 ([4, Theorem 1.4])**.** *Let X be a Hadamard space, f a proper lower semicontinuous convex function of X into $]-\infty,\infty]$, $J_{\eta f}$ the resolvent of ηf for all $\eta>0$, and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence defined by $x_1\in X$ and* (1.1)*, where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n=\infty$. If $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, then $\{x_n\}$ is Δ-convergent to an element of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.*

Motivated by [2, 4, 15], the authors [18] recently obtained the following existence and convergence theorems on two variants of the proximal point algorithm in Hadamard spaces. The algorithms (1.3) and (1.4) were originally introduced by Eckstein and Bertsekas [9] and Kamimura and Takahashi [15] for maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces, respectively.

Theorem 1.2 ([18, Theorem 4.2])**.** *Let X, f, $\{J_{\eta f}\}_{\eta>0}$ be the same as in Theorem 1.1 and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence defined by $x_1\in X$ and*

$$x_{n+1}=\alpha_n x_n\oplus(1-\alpha_n)J_{\lambda_n f}x_n\quad(n=1,2,\dots), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1[$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n=\infty$. Then the following hold.

(i) *The set $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty if and only if $\{J_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ is bounded;*
(ii) *if $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty and $\sup_n\alpha_n<1$, then both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{J_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ are Δ-convergent to an element x_∞ of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.*

Theorem 1.3 ([18, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Let X, f, and $\{J_{\eta f}\}_{\eta>0}$ be the same as in Theorem 1.1, v an element of X, and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1\in X$ and*

$$y_{n+1}=\alpha_n v\oplus(1-\alpha_n)J_{\lambda_n f}y_n\quad(n=1,2,\dots), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_n\lambda_n=\infty$. Then the following hold.

(i) *The set* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty if and only if* $\{J_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ *is bounded;*
(ii) *if* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty,* $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, *and* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, *then both* $\{y_n\}$ *and* $\{J_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ *are convergent to* Pv, *where* P *denotes the metric projection of* X *onto* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

Theorem 1.4 ([18, Theorem 5.4])**.** *Let* X, f, *and* $\{J_{\eta f}\}_{\eta>0}$ *be the same as in Theorem 1.1,* v *an element of* X, *and* $\{y_n\}$ *a sequence defined by* $y_1 \in X$ *and* (1.4), *where* $\{\alpha_n\}$ *is a sequence in* $]0,1]$ *and* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a sequence of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_n \lambda_n > 0.$$

If $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty, then both* $\{y_n\}$ *and* $\{J_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ *are convergent to* Pv, *where* P *denotes the metric projection of* X *onto* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

In 2015, Ohta and Pálfia [28, Definition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2] showed that the resolvent J_f given by (1.2) is still well defined in a complete CAT(1) space such that $\operatorname{diam}(X) < \pi/2$, where $\operatorname{diam}(X)$ denotes the diameter of X. Using this result, they [28, Theorem 5.1] obtained a Δ-convergence theorem on the proximal point algorithm in such spaces. It should be noted that the condition that $\operatorname{diam}(X) < \pi/2$ for a complete CAT(1) space X corresponds to the boundedness condition for a Hadamard space. In fact, every sequence in a complete CAT(1) space X such that $\operatorname{diam}(X) < \pi/2$ has a Δ-convergent subsequence.

In 2016, the authors [17] introduced another type of resolvents of convex functions in CAT(1) spaces. For a given proper lower semicontinuous convex function f of an admissible complete CAT(1) space X into $]-\infty,\infty]$, they [17, Definition 4.3] defined the resolvent R_f of f by

$$R_f x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y\in X} \big\{ f(y) + \tan d(y,x) \sin d(y,x) \big\} \tag{1.5}$$

for all $x \in X$. Following [19], we say that a CAT(1) space X is admissible

$$d(w,w') < \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{1.6}$$

for all $w, w' \in X$.

Recently, the authors [19] obtained the following result on the proximal point algorithm in CAT(1) spaces. We note that the Δ-convergence of $\{x_n\}$ in Theorem 1.5 was also found independently by Espínola and Nicolae [11, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 1.5 ([19, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2])**.** *Let* X *be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space,* f *a proper lower semicontinuous convex function of* X *into* $]-\infty,\infty]$, $R_{\eta f}$ *the resolvent of* ηf *for all* $\eta > 0$, *and* $\{x_n\}$ *a sequence defined by* $x_1 \in X$ *and*

$$x_{n+1} = R_{\lambda_n f} x_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a sequence of positive real numbers such that* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$. *Then* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty if and only if*

$$\inf_{y\in X} \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(y, x_n) < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n d(x_{n+1}, x_n) < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Further, in this case, $\{x_n\}$ *is* Δ*-convergent to an element of* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

Motivated by the papers mentioned above, we study the asymptotic behavior of sequences generated by $x_1, y_1, v \in X$,

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n \oplus (1-\alpha_n) R_{\lambda_n f} x_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$y_{n+1} = \alpha_n v \oplus (1-\alpha_n) R_{\lambda_n f} y_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \tag{1.8}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$, $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, X is an admissible complete CAT(1) space, f is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function of X into $]-\infty, \infty]$, and $R_{\lambda_n f}$ is the resolvent of $\lambda_n f$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ given by (1.5). These algorithms correspond to (1.3) and (1.4) in Hadamard spaces, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and results needed in this paper. In Section 3, we obtain some fundamental properties of resolvents of convex functions in complete CAT(1) spaces. In Sections 4 and 5, we study the asymptotic behavior of sequences generated by (1.7) and (1.8), respectively. The three main results in this paper, Theorems 4.1, 5.1, and 5.2 in admissible complete CAT(1) spaces, correspond to Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 in Hadamard spaces, respectively. In Section 6, we deduce three corollaries of our results in complete CAT(κ) spaces with a positive real number κ.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all positive integers, $\mathbb{R}$ the set of all real numbers, $]-\infty, \infty]$ the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\mathbb{R}^2$ the two dimensional Euclidean space with Euclidean metric $\rho_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, $\mathbb{S}^2$ the unit sphere of the three dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the spherical metric $\rho_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, H a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\| \cdot \|$, X a metric space with metric d, $\mathcal{F}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of a mapping T of X into itself, and $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ or $\operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} f(y)$ the set of all minimizers of a function f of X into $]-\infty, \infty]$. In the case where $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is a singleton $\{p\}$, we sometimes identify $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ with the single point p.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([34, Lemma 2.5]; see also [1, Lemma 2.3])**.** *Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers, $\{\alpha_n\}$ a sequence in $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, and $\{t_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers such that $\limsup_n t_n \le 0$. Suppose that*

$$s_{n+1} \le (1-\alpha_n) s_n + \alpha_n t_n \tag{2.1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\lim_n s_n = 0$.

Saejung and Yotkaew [30] found the following variant of Lemma 2.1. Later, Kimura and Saejung [20] filled in a slight gap in the original proof of this result. Although it was assumed in [20, 30] that $\alpha_n < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the proof in [20, Lemma 2.8] is valid to the case below.

Lemma 2.2 ([20, Lemma 2.8] and [30, Lemma 2.6])**.** *Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers, $\{\alpha_n\}$ a sequence in $]0,1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, and $\{t_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers. Suppose that (2.1) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\limsup_i t_{n_i} \le 0$ whenever $\{n_i\}$ is an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying*

$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \left(s_{n_i} - s_{n_i+1} \right) \le 0.$$

Then $\lim_n s_n = 0$.

Let κ be a nonnegative real number and D_κ the extended real number defined by $D_\kappa = \infty$ if $\kappa = 0$ and $\pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ if $\kappa > 0$. A metric space X is said to be D_κ-geodesic if for each $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < D_\kappa$, there exists a mapping c of $[0, l]$ into X such that $c(0) = x$, $c(l) = y$, and

$$d\big(c(t_1), c(t_2)\big) = |t_1 - t_2|$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, l]$, where $l = d(x, y)$. The mapping c is called a geodesic path from x to y. The image of c is denoted by $[x, y]_c$ and is called a geodesic segment between x and y. We denote by $\alpha x \oplus_c (1-\alpha)y$ the point given by

$$\alpha x \oplus_c (1-\alpha)y = c\big((1-\alpha)l\big)$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. A D_κ-geodesic metric space is also called a D_κ-geodesic space. An ∞-geodesic metric space is also called a geodesic metric space or a geodesic space. A subset F of a D_κ-geodesic space X such that $d(w, w') < D_\kappa$ for all $w, w' \in F$ is said to be convex if $[x, y]_c \subset F$ whenever $x, y \in F$ and c is a geodesic path from x to y. Although $[x, y]_c$ and $\alpha x \oplus_c (1-\alpha)y$ depend on the choice of a geodesic path c from x to y, we sometimes denote them simply by $[x, y]$ and $\alpha x \oplus (1-\alpha)y$, respectively. They are determined uniquely if the space X is uniquely D_κ-geodesic, that is, for each $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < D_\kappa$, there exists a unique geodesic path from x to y.

If H is a Hilbert space, then the unit sphere S_H of H is a uniquely π-geodesic complete metric space with the spherical metric ρ_{S_H} defined by

$$\rho_{S_H}(x, y) = \arccos\langle x, y\rangle$$

for all $x, y \in S_H$. For all distinct $x, y \in S_H$ with $\rho_{S_H}(x, y) < \pi$, the unique geodesic path c from x to y is given by

$$c(t) = (\cos t)x + (\sin t) \cdot \frac{y - \langle x, y\rangle x}{\|y - \langle x, y\rangle x\|}$$

for all $t \in [0, \rho_{S_H}(x, y)]$. The space (S_H, ρ_{S_H}) is called a Hilbert sphere. See [8, 12] for more details on Hilbert spheres.

Let (M_κ, d_κ) be the uniquely D_κ-geodesic space given by

$$(M_\kappa, d_\kappa) = \begin{cases} \big(\mathbb{R}^2, \rho_{\mathbb{R}^2}\big) & (\kappa = 0); \\ \Big(\mathbb{S}^2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\rho_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Big) & (\kappa > 0). \end{cases}$$

If κ is a nonnegative real number, X is a D_κ-geodesic space, and x_1, x_2, x_3 are points of X satisfying

$$d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_3, x_1) < 2D_\kappa, \tag{2.2}$$

then there exist $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3 \in M_\kappa$ such that

$$d(x_i, x_j) = d_\kappa(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$; see [8, Lemma 2.14 in Chapter I.2]. The two sets Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ given by

$$\Delta = [x_1, x_2] \cup [x_2, x_3] \cup [x_3, x_1] \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} = [\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2] \cup [\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3] \cup [\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_1]$$

are called a geodesic triangle with vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 in X and a comparison triangle for Δ, respectively. A point $\bar{p} \in \bar{\Delta}$ is called a comparison point for $p \in \Delta$ if

$$p \in [x_i, x_j], \quad \bar{p} \in [\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j], \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_i, p) = d_\kappa(\bar{x}_i, \bar{p})$$

for some distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. A metric space X is said to be a CAT(κ) space if it is D_κ-geodesic and the CAT(κ) inequality

$$d(p, q) \le d_\kappa(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$$

holds whenever Δ is a geodesic triangle with vertices $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ satisfying (2.2), $\bar{\Delta}$ is a comparison triangle for Δ, and $\bar{p}, \bar{q} \in \bar{\Delta}$ are comparison points for $p, q \in \Delta$, respectively. In this case, the space X is also uniquely D_κ-geodesic. Every CAT(κ) space is a CAT(κ') space for all $\kappa' \in]\kappa, \infty[$. A complete CAT(0) space is particularly called a Hadamard space. The class of Hadamard spaces includes nonempty closed convex subsets of Hilbert spaces, open unit balls of Hilbert spaces with hyperbolic metric, Hadamard manifolds, and complete $\mathbb{R}$-trees. The class of complete CAT(1) spaces includes Hadamard spaces and Hilbert spheres with spherical metric. We say that a CAT(1) space X is admissible if (1.6) holds for all $w, w' \in X$. If $\kappa > 0$, then (X, d) is a complete CAT(κ) space such that $d(w, w') < D_\kappa/2$ for all $w, w' \in X$ if and only if $(X, \sqrt{\kappa}d)$ is an admissible complete CAT(1) space. See [5, 8] for more details on CAT(κ) spaces.

We know that if X is a CAT(1) space, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ satisfy (2.2) for $\kappa = 1$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\cos d\big(\alpha x_1 \oplus (1-\alpha)x_2, x_3\big) \ge \alpha \cos d(x_1, x_3) + (1-\alpha)\cos d(x_2, x_3). \tag{2.3}$$

We also know the following fundamental inequalities.

Lemma 2.3 ([22, Corollary 2.2])**.** *If X is a* CAT(1) *space, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ satisfy* (2.2) *for $\kappa = 1$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\cos d\big(\alpha x_1 \oplus (1-\alpha)x_2, x_3\big) \sin d(x_1, x_2) \\ &\ge \cos d(x_1, x_3) \sin\big(\alpha d(x_1, x_2)\big) + \cos d(x_2, x_3) \sin\big((1-\alpha)d(x_1, x_2)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.4 ([23, Lemma 3.1])**.** *If X is an admissible* CAT(1) *space, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\cos d\big(\alpha x_1 \oplus (1-\alpha)x_2, x_3\big) \\ &\ge (1-\beta)\cos d(x_2, x_3) + \beta \cdot \frac{\cos d(x_1, x_3)}{\sin d(x_1, x_2) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha}{2} d(x_1, x_2)\big) + \cos d(x_1, x_2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1 - \dfrac{\sin\big((1-\alpha)d(x_1, x_2)\big)}{\sin d(x_1, x_2)} & (x_1 \ne x_2); \\ \alpha & (x_1 = x_2). \end{cases}$$

The concept of Δ-convergence was originally introduced by Lim [25] in metric spaces. Later, Kirk and Panyanak [24] applied it to the study of geodesic spaces. Let X be a metric space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X. The asymptotic center $\mathcal{A}\big(\{x_n\}\big)$ of $\{x_n\}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\{x_n\}\big) = \left\{ z \in X : \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(z, x_n) = \inf_{y \in X} \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(y, x_n) \right\}.$$

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Δ-convergent to $p \in X$ if

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\{x_{n_i}\}\big) = \{p\}$$

holds for each subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$. In this case, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and its each subsequence is also Δ-convergent to p. If X is a nonempty closed convex subset of

a Hilbert space, then the Δ-convergence coincides with the weak convergence. We denote by $\omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big)$ the set of all points $q \in X$ such that there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ which is Δ-convergent to q. Following [19], we say that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a CAT(1) space X is spherically bounded if

$$\inf_{y\in X} \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(y, x_n) < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We know the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5 ([10, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.4])**.** *Let X be a complete* CAT(1) *space and $\{x_n\}$ a spherically bounded sequence in X. Then $\mathcal{A}\big(\{x_n\}\big)$ is a singleton and $\{x_n\}$ has a Δ-convergent subsequence.*

Lemma 2.6 ([21, Proposition 3.1])**.** *Let X be a complete* CAT(1) *space and $\{x_n\}$ a spherically bounded sequence in X. If $\{d(z, x_n)\}$ is convergent for each element z of $\omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big)$, then $\{x_n\}$ is Δ-convergent to an element of X.*

Let X be an admissible CAT(1) space. A function f of X into $]-\infty, \infty]$ is said to be proper if $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ for some $a \in X$. It is also said to be convex if

$$f\big(\alpha x \oplus (1-\alpha)y\big) \le \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha) f(y)$$

whenever $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1[$. We denote by $\Gamma_0(X)$ the set of all proper lower semicontinuous convex functions of X into $]-\infty, \infty]$. The set $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is obviously closed and convex for each $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$. It follows from (2.3) that $-\cos d(\cdot, z)$ belongs to $\Gamma_0(X)$ for all $z \in X$. For a nonempty closed convex subset C of X, the indicator function i_C for C, which is defined by $i_C(x) = 0$ if $x \in C$ and ∞ otherwise, belongs to $\Gamma_0(X)$. See [16, 32] on convex functions in CAT(1) spaces. A function f of X into $]-\infty, \infty]$ is said to be Δ-lower semicontinuous if $f(p) \le \liminf_n f(x_n)$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X which is Δ-convergent to $p \in X$. A function g of X into $[-\infty, \infty[$ is said to be concave if $-g$ is convex.

Let X be an admissible complete CAT(1) space and f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$. It is known [17, Theorem 4.2] that for each $x \in X$, there exists a unique $\hat{x} \in X$ such that

$$f(\hat{x}) + \tan d(\hat{x}, x) \sin d(\hat{x}, x) = \inf_{y\in X} \{f(y) + \tan d(y, x) \sin d(y, x)\}.$$

Following [17, Definition 4.3], we define the resolvent R_f of f by

$$R_f x = \hat{x}$$

for all $x \in X$. In other words, R_f can be defined by (1.5) for all $x \in X$. If f is the indicator function i_C for a nonempty closed convex subset C of X, then the resolvent R_f coincides with the metric projection P_C of X onto C, that is,

$$R_f x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y\in C} \tan d(y, x) \sin d(y, x) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y\in C} d(y, x) = P_C x$$

for all $x \in X$.

It is known [17, Theorems 4.2 and 4.6] that R_f is a well-defined and single-valued mapping of X into itself,

$$\mathcal{F}(R_f) = \operatorname*{argmin}_X f, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(C_x^2(1+C_y^2)C_y + C_y^2(1+C_x^2)C_x\big)\cos d(R_f x, R_f y)\\ &\geq C_x^2(1+C_y^2)\cos d(R_f x, y) + C_y^2(1+C_x^2)\cos d(R_f y, x)\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where C_z the real number given by $C_z = \cos d(R_f z, z)$ for all $z \in X$.

The following lemma was recently obtained in [19, Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2]. The inequality (2.9) is a generalization of (2.7) and corresponds to [2, Lemma 3.1] in Banach spaces.

Lemma 2.7 ([19, Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2])**.** *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, $R_{\eta f}$ the resolvent of ηf for all $\eta > 0$, and $C_{\eta,z}$ the real number given by*

$$C_{\eta,z} = \cos d(R_{\eta f} z, z) \tag{2.8}$$

for all $\eta > 0$ and $z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\lambda C_{\lambda,x}^2(1+C_{\mu,y}^2)C_{\mu,y} + \mu C_{\mu,y}^2(1+C_{\lambda,x}^2)C_{\lambda,x}\big)\cos d(R_{\lambda f} x, R_{\mu f} y)\\ &\geq \lambda C_{\lambda,x}^2(1+C_{\mu,y}^2)\cos d(R_{\lambda f} x, y) + \mu C_{\mu,y}^2(1+C_{\lambda,x}^2)\cos d(R_{\mu f} y, x)\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Further,

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{\lambda,x}^2} + 1\right)\big(C_{\lambda,x}\cos d(u, R_{\lambda f} x) - \cos d(u, x)\big) \geq \lambda\big(f(R_{\lambda f} x) - f(u)\big) \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\cos d(R_{\lambda f} x, x)\cos d(u, R_{\lambda f} x) \geq \cos d(u, x), \tag{2.11}$$

hold for all $x \in X$, $u \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$, and $\lambda > 0$.

We also know the following results.

Lemma 2.8 ([17, Lemma 3.1])**.** *If X is an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, then every $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$ is Δ-lower semicontinuous.*

Theorem 2.9 ([19, Theorem 4.1])**.** *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, $\{z_n\}$ a spherically bounded sequence in X, $\{\beta_n\}$ a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\beta_n = \infty$, and g the real function on X defined by*

$$g(y) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{l=1}^{n}\beta_l}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\beta_k \cos d(y, z_k) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $y \in X$. Then g is a 1-Lipschitz continuous and concave function of X into $[0,1]$ and it has a unique maximizer.

It is obvious that if A is a nonempty bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}$, I is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$ containing A, and f is a continuous and nondecreasing real function on I, then $f(\sup A) = \sup f(A)$ and $f(\inf A) = \inf f(A)$. Thus we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.10. *Let I be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$, $\{t_n\}$ a bounded sequence in I, and f a continuous real function on I. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If f is nondecreasing, then $f(\limsup_n t_n) = \limsup_n f(t_n)$;*
(ii) *if f is nonincreasing, then $f(\limsup_n t_n) = \liminf_n f(t_n)$.*

3. Resolvents of convex functions in CAT(1) spaces

In this section, we obtain three fundamental lemmas on the resolvents of convex functions in CAT(1) spaces.

The following lemma corresponds to [2, Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6] in Banach spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, $R_{\eta f}$ the resolvent of ηf for all $\eta > 0$, $\{\lambda_n\}$ a sequence of positive real numbers, p an element of X, and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If $\inf_n \lambda_n > 0$, $\mathcal{A}\big(\{x_n\}\big) = \{p\}$, and $\lim_n d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) = 0$, then p is an element of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$;*

(ii) *if $\lim_n \lambda_n = \infty$, $\mathcal{A}\big(\{R_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}\big) = \{p\}$, and $\sup_n d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) < \pi/2$, then p is an element of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.*

Proof. Let $C_{\eta,z}$ be the real number in $]0,1]$ given by (2.8) for all $\eta > 0$ and $z \in X$. It follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\lambda_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2(1+C_{1,p}^2) + C_{1,p}^2(1+C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2)\big)\cos d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, R_f p)\\ &\geq \lambda_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2(1+C_{1,p}^2)\cos d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, p) + C_{1,p}^2(1+C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2)\cos d(R_f p, x_n)\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\cos d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, R_f p)\\ &\geq \cos d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, p)\\ &\quad + \frac{C_{1,p}^2}{1+C_{1,p}^2}\cdot\frac{1+C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2}{\lambda_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2}\big(\cos d(R_f p, x_n) - \cos d(R_f p, R_{\lambda_n f}x_n)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first show (i). Suppose that the assumptions hold. Since $\lim_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n} = 1$ and $\inf_n \lambda_n > 0$, the sequence

$$\left\{\frac{1+C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2}{\lambda_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2}\right\}$$

is bounded. Since $t \mapsto \cos t$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous and $\lim_n d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\cos d(R_f p, x_n) - \cos d(R_f p, R_{\lambda_n f}x_n)| &\leq |d(R_f p, x_n) - d(R_f p, R_{\lambda_n f}x_n)|\\ &\leq d(x_n, R_{\lambda_n f}x_n) \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Taking the lower limit in (3.1), we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\cos d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, R_f p) \geq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\cos d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, p).$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\cos\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, R_f p)\right) \geq \cos\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, p)\right)$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, R_f p) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, p). \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, since $\lim_n d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) = 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, y) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y) \tag{3.3}$$

for all $y \in X$. By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, R_f p) \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, p).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}\big(\{x_n\}\big) = \{p\}$, we obtain $R_f p = p$. Consequently, it follows from (2.6) that $p \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

We next show (ii). Suppose that the assumptions hold. Since

$$\sup_n d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n) < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

we know that

$$0 < \cos\left(\sup_n d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n)\right) = \inf_n \cos d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n) = \inf_n C_{\lambda_n, x_n}.$$

Thus it follows from $\lim_n \lambda_n = \infty$ that

$$0 < \frac{1 + C_{\lambda_n, x_n}^2}{\lambda_n C_{\lambda_n, x_n}^2} \le \frac{2}{\lambda_n \left(\inf_m C_{\lambda_m, x_m}\right)^2} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Taking the lower limit in (3.1), we know that (3.2) holds. Then, since $\mathcal{A}\big(\{R_{\lambda_n f} x_n\}\big) = \{p\}$, we obtain $R_f p = p$ and hence $p \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$. □

We also need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, $R_{\eta f}$ the resolvent of ηf for all $\eta > 0$, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ a sequence of positive real numbers. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(\cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n) - \cos d(u, x_n)\big) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \sup_n d(u, x_n) < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for some $u \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$, then $\lim_n d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from (2.11) that

$$\cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n) \ge \cos d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n) \cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n) \ge \cos d(u, x_n) \tag{3.4}$$

and hence

$$\inf_n \cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n) \ge \inf_n \cos d(u, x_n) = \cos\left(\sup_n d(u, x_n)\right) > 0 \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le \cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n) \left(1 - \frac{\cos d(u, x_n)}{\cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n)}\right) \\ &= \cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n) - \cos d(u, x_n) \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Thus it follows from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\cos d(u, x_n)}{\cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n)} = 1. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.4) and (3.7), we obtain

$$1 \ge \cos d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n) \ge \frac{\cos d(u, x_n)}{\cos d(u, R_{\lambda_n f} x_n)} \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$ and hence $\lim_n d(R_{\lambda_n f} x_n, x_n) = 0$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, F a nonempty closed convex subset of X, P the metric projection of X onto F, and $\{x_n\}$ a spherically bounded sequence in X. If $\omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big)$ is a subset of F, then*

$$\cos d(Pv, v) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \cos d(x_n, v)$$

for all $v \in X$.

Proof. Let $v \in X$ be given. Since $\{x_n\}$ is spherically bounded, Lemma 2.5 ensures that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which is Δ-convergent to some $q \in X$ and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \cos d(x_{n_i}, v) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \cos d(x_n, v). \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big) \subset F$, we have $q \in F$ and hence the definition of P gives us that

$$\cos d(Pv, v) \geq \cos d(q, v). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $-\cos d(\cdot, v)$ belongs to $\Gamma_0(X)$, Lemma 2.8 implies that it is Δ-lower semicontinuous. Thus we have

$$-\cos d(q, v) \leq \liminf_{i\to\infty} \big(-\cos d(x_{n_i}, v)\big) = -\lim_{i\to\infty} \cos d(x_{n_i}, v). \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10), we obtain the conclusion. □

4. Δ-convergent proximal-type algorithm

In this section, we obtain the following theorem, which is a generalization of Theorem 1.5. This is the first one of our three main results in this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, $R_{\eta f}$ the resolvent of ηf for all $\eta > 0$, and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence defined by $x_1 \in X$ and* (1.7)*, where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1[$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n = \infty$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The set* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty if and only if $\{R_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ is spherically bounded and* $\sup_n d(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) < \pi/2$*;*

(ii) *if* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty and* $\sup_n \alpha_n < 1$*, then both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{R_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ are Δ-convergent to an element x_∞ of* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$*.*

Proof. Let $C_{\eta,z}$ be the real number given by (2.8) for all $\eta > 0$ and $z \in X$ and let $\{z_n\}$ be the sequence in X given by $z_n = R_{\lambda_n f}x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first show the if part of (i). Suppose that $\{z_n\}$ is spherically bounded and $\sup_n d(z_n, x_n) < \pi/2$ and let $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ be the real sequences given by

$$\beta_n = \frac{(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2}{1 + C_{\lambda_n,x_n}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \beta_l$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\alpha_n < 1$ and $\lambda_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X is admissible, we know that $\{\beta_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. Since $\sup_n d(z_n, x_n) < \pi/2$, we also know that

$$0 < \cos\left(\sup_n d(z_n, x_n)\right) = \inf_n \cos d(z_n, x_n) = \inf_n C_{\lambda_n,x_n}.$$

Thus, noting that

$$\beta_n \geq \frac{(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n \left(\inf_m C_{\lambda_m,x_m}\right)^2}{2}$$

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n=\infty$, we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\beta_n=\infty$. According to Theorem 2.9, the real function g on X defined by (2.12) for all $y\in X$ has a unique maximizer $p\in X$. It then follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda_k C_{\lambda_k,x_k}^2}{1+C_{\lambda_k,x_k}^2}\cos d(R_{\lambda_k f}x_k,R_f p)\\&\geq\frac{\lambda_k C_{\lambda_k,x_k}^2}{1+C_{\lambda_k,x_k}^2}\cos d(R_{\lambda_k f}x_k,p)+\frac{C_{1,p}^2}{1+C_{1,p}^2}\big(\cos d(R_f p,x_k)-\cos d(R_f p,R_{\lambda_k f}x_k)\big)\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta_k\cos d(z_k,R_f p)\\&\geq\beta_k\cos d(z_k,p)+\frac{(1-\alpha_k)C_{1,p}^2}{1+C_{1,p}^2}\big(\cos d(R_f p,x_k)-\cos d(R_f p,z_k)\big)\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it follows from (2.3) and the definition of $\{x_n\}$ that

$$\cos d(R_f p,x_{k+1})\geq\alpha_k\cos d(R_f p,x_k)+(1-\alpha_k)\cos d(R_f p,z_k)\tag{4.2}$$

and hence, by (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta_k\cos d(z_k,R_f p)\\&\geq\beta_k\cos d(z_k,p)+\frac{C_{1,p}^2}{1+C_{1,p}^2}\big(\cos d(R_f p,x_k)-\cos d(R_f p,x_{k+1})\big)\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Summing up (4.3) with respect to $k\in\{1,2,\dots,n\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\sigma_n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\beta_k\cos d(z_k,R_f p)\\&\geq\frac{1}{\sigma_n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\beta_k\cos d(z_k,p)+\frac{C_{1,p}^2}{1+C_{1,p}^2}\cdot\frac{1}{\sigma_n}\big(\cos d(R_f p,x_1)-\cos d(R_f p,x_{n+1})\big)\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_n\sigma_n=\infty$, it follows from (4.4) that $g(R_f p)\geq g(p)$. Since p is the unique maximizer of g, we obtain $R_f p=p$. Consequently, it follows from (2.6) that $p\in\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Therefore, the set $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty.

We next show the only if part of (i). Suppose that $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty and let $u\in\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ be given. Then it follows from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}\min\big\{\cos d(u,z_n),\cos d(z_n,x_n)\big\}&\geq\cos d(u,z_n)\cos d(z_n,x_n)\\&\geq\cos d(u,x_n)\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

and hence

$$\max\big\{d(u,z_n),d(z_n,x_n)\big\}\leq d(u,x_n)\tag{4.6}$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. By (2.3) and (4.5), we have

$$\cos d(u,x_{n+1})\geq\alpha_n\cos d(u,x_n)+(1-\alpha_n)\cos d(u,z_n)\geq\cos d(u,x_n).\tag{4.7}$$

It then follows from (4.7) and the admissibility of X that

$$d(u,x_{n+1})\leq d(u,x_n)\leq d(u,x_1)<\frac{\pi}{2}\tag{4.8}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus it follows from (4.6) and (4.8) that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(u, z_n) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} d(u, x_n) \le d(u, x_1) < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

This implies the spherical boundedness of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$. It also follows from (4.6) and (4.8) that $\sup_n d(z_n, x_n) < \pi/2$.

We finally show (ii). Suppose that $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty and $\sup_n \alpha_n < 1$. Then we know that (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8) hold and that both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are spherically bounded. Let $u \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$ be given. It follows from (4.8) that $\{d(u, x_n)\}$ tends to some $\beta \in [0, \pi/2[$. By (2.3) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cos d(u, x_{n+1}) \\
&\ge \alpha_n \cos d(u, x_n) + (1-\alpha_n)\cos d(u, z_n) \\
&\ge \alpha_n \cos d(u, x_n) + (1-\alpha_n)\cdot \frac{\cos d(u, x_n)}{\cos d(z_n, x_n)} \\
&= \cos d(u, x_n) + (1-\alpha_n)\cos d(u, x_n)\left(\frac{1}{\cos d(z_n, x_n)} - 1\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$0 \le (1-\alpha_n)\left(\frac{1}{\cos d(z_n, x_n)} - 1\right) \le \frac{\cos d(u, x_{n+1})}{\cos d(u, x_n)} - 1 \to \frac{\cos\beta}{\cos\beta} - 1 = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Since $\sup_n \alpha_n < 1$, it follows from (4.9) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(z_n, x_n) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.10) and (4.10) that there exists a positive real number K such that

$$\lambda_n\big(f(z_n) - f(u)\big) \le \frac{K\pi}{2}\big(\cos d(u, z_n) - \cos d(u, x_n)\big) \tag{4.11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It then follows from (4.7) and (4.11) that

$$(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n\big(f(z_n) - f(u)\big) \le \frac{K\pi}{2}\big(\cos d(u, x_{n+1}) - \cos d(u, x_n)\big)$$

and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n\big(f(z_n) - f(u)\big) \le \frac{K\pi}{2}\big(\cos\beta - \cos d(u, x_1)\big) < \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n = \infty$, it follows from (4.12) that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\big(f(z_n) - f(u)\big) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

By the definitions of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ and the convexity of f, we also have

$$-\infty < \inf f(X) \le f(z_n) \le f(z_n) + \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\tan d(z_n, x_n)\sin d(z_n, x_n) \le f(x_n)$$

and

$$-\infty < \inf f(X) \le f(x_{n+1}) \le \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1-\alpha_n) f(z_n) \le f(x_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\{f(x_n)\}$ tends to some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{f(z_n)\}$ is bounded. Let $\{n_i\}$ be any increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$. Since $\sup_n \alpha_n < 1$, we have a subsequence $\{n_{i_j}\}$ of $\{n_i\}$ such that $\{\alpha_{n_{i_j}}\}$ tends to some $\delta \in [0,1[$. Then, letting $j \to \infty$ in

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n_{i_j}}}\Big(f\big(x_{n_{i_j}+1}\big) - \alpha_{n_{i_j}} f\big(x_{n_{i_j}}\big)\Big) \le f\big(z_{n_{i_j}}\big) \le f\big(x_{n_{i_j}}\big),$$

we obtain $f\big(z_{n_{i_j}}\big) \to \gamma$. Thus $\{f(z_n)\}$ also tends to γ. Consequently, it follows from (4.13) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = \gamma = f(u) = \inf f(X). \tag{4.14}$$

Let z be any element of $\omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big)$. Then we have a subsequence $\{x_{m_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which is Δ-convergent to z. Since f is Δ-lower semicontinuous by Lemma 2.8, it follows from (4.14) that

$$f(z) \le \liminf_{i\to\infty} f(x_{m_i}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = \inf f(X)$$

and hence $z \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Thus $\omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big)$ is a subset of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. It then follows from (4.8) that $\{d(z,x_n)\}$ is convergent for each $z \in \omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big)$. Thus, Lemma 2.6 ensures that $\{x_n\}$ is Δ-convergent to some $x_\infty \in X$. Since

$$\{x_\infty\} = \omega_\Delta\big(\{x_n\}\big) \subset \operatorname*{argmin}_X f,$$

we know that x_∞ belongs to $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. It then follows from (4.10) that

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\{z_{l_i}\}\big) = \mathcal{A}\big(\{x_{l_i}\}\big) = \{x_\infty\}$$

for each increasing sequence $\{l_i\}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Consequently, we conclude that both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are Δ-convergent to an element x_∞ of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. □

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let (S_H, ρ_{S_H}) be a Hilbert sphere, X an admissible closed convex subset of S_H, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence defined by $x_1 \in X$ and (1.7), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1[$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n = \infty$. Then $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty if and only if $\{R_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ is spherically bounded and $\sup_n \rho_{S_H}(R_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) < \pi/2$. Further, if $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty and $\sup_n \alpha_n < 1$, then both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{R_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ are Δ-convergent to an element x_∞ of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.*

5. Convergent proximal-type algorithm

In this section, using some techniques in [23, Theorem 3.2], we first obtain the following theorem. This is the second one of our three main results in this paper.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be an admissible complete* CAT(1) *space, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, $R_{\eta f}$ the resolvent of ηf for all $\eta > 0$, v an element of X, and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1 \in X$ and (1.8), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_n \lambda_n = \infty$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The set $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty if and only if $\{R_{\lambda_n f}y_n\}$ is spherically bounded and $\sup_n d(R_{\lambda_n f}y_n, y_n) < \pi/2$;*

(ii) *if* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ *is nonempty,* $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$*, and* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 = \infty$*, then both* $\{y_n\}$ *and* $\{R_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ *are convergent to* Pv*, where* P *denotes the metric projection of* X *onto* $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$*.*

Proof. Let $\{z_n\}$ be the sequence in X given by $z_n = R_{\lambda_n f} y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first show the if part of (i). Suppose that $\{z_n\}$ is spherically bounded and $\sup_n d(z_n, y_n) < \pi/2$. Then Lemma 2.5 implies that there exists $p \in X$ such that $\mathcal{A}\big(\{z_n\}\big) = \{p\}$. Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n d(z_n, y_n) < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

it follows from (ii) of Lemma 3.1 that $p \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Thus $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty.

We next show the only if part of (i). Suppose that $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty and let P be the metric projection of X onto $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. It follows from (2.11) that

$$\max\big\{d(Pv, z_n), d(z_n, y_n)\big\} \le d(Pv, y_n) \tag{5.1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It also follows from (2.3) and (2.11) that

$$\cos d(Pv, y_{n+1}) \ge \alpha_n \cos d(Pv, v) + (1 - \alpha_n) \cos d(Pv, y_n).$$

This implies that

$$\cos d(Pv, y_n) \ge \min\big\{\cos d(Pv, v), \cos d(Pv, y_1)\big\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence

$$d(Pv, y_n) \le \max\big\{d(Pv, v), d(Pv, y_1)\big\} < \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{5.2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where the last inequality follows from the admissibility of X. Then, by (5.1) and (5.2), we see that both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are spherically bounded and

$$\sup_n d(z_n, y_n) < \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{5.3}$$

We finally show (ii). Suppose that $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 = \infty$. Then we know that (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3) hold and that both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are spherically bounded. By (2.5) and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\cos d\big(Pv, y_{n+1}\big) \\ &\ge (1 - \beta_n) \cos d(Pv, y_n) + \beta_n \cdot \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\sin d(z_n, v) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(z_n, v)\big) + \cos d(z_n, v)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\beta_n = \begin{cases} 1 - \dfrac{\sin\big((1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, v)\big)}{\sin d(z_n, v)} & (z_n \ne v); \\ \alpha_n & (z_n = v) \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that if $z_n \ne v$, then it follows from

$$\sin\big((1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, v)\big) \ge \alpha_n \sin 0 + (1 - \alpha_n) \sin d(z_n, v) = (1 - \alpha_n) \sin d(z_n, v)$$

that $\alpha_n \ge \beta_n$. Hence we have

$$\alpha_n \ge \beta_n \tag{5.6}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting

$$s_n = 1 - \cos d(Pv, y_n) \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$t_n = 1 - \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\sin d(z_n, v) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(z_n, v)\big) + \cos d(z_n, v)}, \tag{5.8}$$

we have from (5.4) that

$$s_{n+1} \le (1 - \beta_n) s_n + \beta_n t_n \tag{5.9}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$. Suppose that $z_n \neq v$. If $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi(t) = \sin(\gamma t)/\sin t$ for all $t \in]0, \pi/2]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= \frac{\cos(\gamma t)\cos t}{\sin^2 t}\big(\gamma \tan t - \tan(\gamma t)\big) \\ &\ge \frac{\cos(\gamma t)\cos t}{\sin^2 t}\Big(\gamma \tan t - \big((1-\gamma)\tan 0 + \gamma \tan t\big)\Big) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in]0, \pi/2[$. Thus the function

$$t \mapsto \frac{\sin\big((1-\alpha_n)t\big)}{\sin t}$$

is nondecreasing on $]0, \pi/2]$. Using this property and the inequality $1 - t^2/4 \ge \cos t$ on $[0, \pi/2]$, we have

$$\beta_n \ge 1 - \sin\frac{(1-\alpha_n)\pi}{2} = 1 - \cos\frac{\alpha_n \pi}{2} \ge \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\alpha_n \pi}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha_n^2 \pi^2}{16}.$$

If $z_n = v$, then $\beta_n = \alpha_n \ge \alpha_n^2 \ge 16^{-1}\pi^2 \alpha_n^2$. Hence the inequality

$$\beta_n \ge \frac{\pi^2}{16}\alpha_n^2 \tag{5.10}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It then follows from $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 = \infty$ that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$.

We next show that $\limsup_n t_n \le 0$. Since $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sin d(z_n, v) \tan\frac{\alpha_n d(z_n, v)}{2} = 0.$$

If $\limsup_n \cos d(z_n, v) = 0$, then we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} t_n = 1 - \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\sin d(z_n, v) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(z_n, v)\big) + \cos d(z_n, v)} = -\infty.$$

If $\limsup_n \cos d(z_n, v) > 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n\to\infty} t_n \\ &= 1 - \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\sin d(z_n, v) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(z_n, v)\big) + \cos d(z_n, v)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sin d(z_n, v) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(z_n, v)\big) + \cos d(z_n, v)\Big)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\limsup_{n\to\infty} \cos d(z_n, v)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

On the other hand, if q is any element of $\omega_\Delta\big(\{z_n\}\big)$, then there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ which is Δ-convergent to some $q \in X$. Since

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{n_i} = \infty, \quad \mathcal{A}\big(\{R_{\lambda_{n_i} f} y_{n_i}\}\big) = \{q\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_i d(R_{\lambda_{n_i} f} y_{n_i}, y_{n_i}) < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

it follows from (ii) of Lemma 3.1 that $q \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Thus $\omega_\Delta\big(\{z_n\}\big)$ is a subset of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. It then follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\cos d(Pv, v) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \cos d(z_n, v). \tag{5.12}$$

By (5.11) and (5.12), we know that $\limsup_n t_n \leq 0$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.1 yields that $\lim_n s_n = 0$ and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Pv, y_n) = 0. \tag{5.13}$$

Consequently, by (5.1) and (5.13), we conclude that both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are convergent to Pv. □

Using Lemma 2.2, we next obtain the last one of our three main results in this paper.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X, f, $\{R_{\eta f}\}_{\eta>0}$, and v be the same as in Theorem 5.1, and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1 \in X$ and (1.8), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $]0,1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_n \lambda_n > 0.$$

If $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, then both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{R_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ are convergent to Pv, where P denotes the metric projection of X onto $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

Proof. Let $\{z_n\}$ be the sequence in X given by $z_n = R_{\lambda_n f} y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can see that (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3) hold and that both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are spherically bounded. Let $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$, and $\{t_n\}$ be the real sequences defined by (5.5), (5.7), and (5.8), respectively. Then we can see that (5.6), (5.9), and (5.10) hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $]0,1]$, so is $\{\beta_n\}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 = \infty$, it follows from (5.10) that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$.

Let $\{n_i\}$ be any increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \big(s_{n_i} - s_{n_i+1}\big) \leq 0. \tag{5.14}$$

Then we show that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} t_{n_i} \leq 0. \tag{5.15}$$

If $\limsup_i \cos d(z_{n_i}, v) = 0$, then we have

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} t_{n_i} = 1 - \liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\sin d(z_{n_i}, v) \tan\big(\frac{\alpha_{n_i}}{2} d(z_{n_i}, v)\big) + \cos d(z_{n_i}, v)} = -\infty.$$

If $\limsup_i \cos d(z_{n_i}, v) > 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} t_{n_i} = 1 - \frac{\cos d(Pv, v)}{\limsup_{i\to\infty} \cos d(z_{n_i}, v)}. \tag{5.16}$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n_i} - s_{n_i+1} &= \cos d(Pv, y_{n_i+1}) - \cos d(Pv, y_{n_i}) \\ &\geq \alpha_{n_i} \cos d(Pv, v) + (1 - \alpha_{n_i}) \cos d(Pv, z_{n_i}) - \cos d(Pv, y_{n_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.11) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{n_i} - s_{n_i+1} + \alpha_{n_i}\big(\cos d(Pv, z_{n_i}) - \cos d(Pv, v)\big) \\ & \quad \geq \cos d(Pv, z_{n_i}) - \cos d(Pv, y_{n_i}) \\ & \quad \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Since $\lim_i \alpha_{n_i} = 0$, it follows from (5.14) and (5.17) that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\big(\cos d(Pv, z_{n_i}) - \cos d(Pv, y_{n_i})\big) = 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (5.2) that

$$\sup_i d(Pv, y_{n_i}) \leq \sup_n d(Pv, y_n) < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Thus it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\lim_i d(z_{n_i}, y_{n_i}) = 0$. Let q be any element of $\omega_\Delta\big(\{z_{n_i}\}\big)$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_{i_j}}\}$ of $\{z_{n_i}\}$ which is Δ-convergent to some $q \in X$. Since

$$\inf_j \lambda_{n_{i_j}} > 0, \quad \mathcal{A}\big(\{y_{n_{i_j}}\}\big) = \{q\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j\to\infty} d\big(R_{\lambda_{n_{i_j}} f} y_{n_{i_j}}, y_{n_{i_j}}\big) = 0,$$

it follows from (i) of Lemma 3.1 that $q \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Thus $\omega_\Delta\big(\{z_{n_i}\}\big)$ is a subset of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, we know that

$$\cos d(Pv, v) \geq \limsup_{i\to\infty} \cos d(z_{n_i}, v). \tag{5.18}$$

By (5.16) and (5.18), we know that (5.15) holds.

Therefore, Lemma 2.2 yields that $\lim_n s_n = 0$ and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Pv, y_n) = 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Consequently, by (5.1) and (5.19), we conclude that both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are convergent to Pv. □

As direct consequences of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we obtain the following two corollaries, respectively.

Corollary 5.3. *Let (S_H, ρ_{S_H}) be a Hilbert sphere, X an admissible closed convex subset of S_H, f an element of $\Gamma_0(X)$, v an element of X, and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1 \in X$ and (1.8), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_n \lambda_n = \infty$. Then $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty if and only if $\{R_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ is spherically bounded and $\sup_n \rho_{S_H}(R_{\lambda_n f} y_n, y_n) < \pi/2$. Further, if $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n^2 = \infty$, then both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{R_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ are convergent to Pv, where P denotes the metric projection of X onto $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.*

Corollary 5.4. *Let (S_H, ρ_{S_H}), X, f, and v be the same as in Corollary 5.3 and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1 \in X$ and (1.8), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $]0,1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n^2 = \infty$, and $\inf_n \lambda_n > 0$. If $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, then both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{R_{\lambda_n f} y_n\}$ are convergent to Pv, where P denotes the metric projection of X onto $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.*

6. Results in CAT(κ) spaces with a positive κ

In this final section, using Theorems 4.1, 5.1, and 5.2, we deduce three corollaries in CAT(κ) spaces with a positive real number κ.

Throughout this section, we suppose the following.

- κ is a positive real number and $D_\kappa = \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$;
- X is a complete CAT(κ) space such that $d(w, w') < D_\kappa/2$ for all $w, w' \in X$;
- f is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function of X into $]-\infty, \infty]$;
- $\tilde{R}_{\eta f}$ is the mapping of X into itself defined by

$$\tilde{R}_{\eta f}x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y\in X}\left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{\eta}\tan\bigl(\sqrt{\kappa}d(y,x)\bigr)\sin\bigl(\sqrt{\kappa}d(y,x)\bigr)\right\}$$

for all $\eta > 0$ and $x \in X$.

Since the space $(X, \sqrt{\kappa}d)$ is an admissible complete CAT(1) space, the mapping $\tilde{R}_{\eta f}$ is well defined and Theorems 4.1, 5.1, and 5.2 immediately imply the following three corollaries, respectively.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence defined by $x_1 \in X$ and*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n \oplus (1-\alpha_n)\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}x_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1[$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\alpha_n)\lambda_n = \infty$. Then $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty if and only if

$$\inf_{y\in X}\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(y, \tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}x_n) < \frac{D_\kappa}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n d(\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}x_n, x_n) < \frac{D_\kappa}{2}.$$

Further, if $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty and $\sup_n \alpha_n < 1$, then both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}x_n\}$ are Δ-convergent to an element x_∞ of $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

Corollary 6.2. *Let v be an element of X and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1 \in X$ and*

$$y_{n+1} = \alpha_n v \oplus (1-\alpha_n)\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}y_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \tag{6.1}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_n \lambda_n = \infty$. Then $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty if and only if

$$\inf_{y\in X}\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(y, \tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}y_n) < \frac{D_\kappa}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n d(\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}y_n, y_n) < \frac{D_\kappa}{2}.$$

Further, if $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n^2 = \infty$, then both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}y_n\}$ are convergent to Pv, where P denotes the metric projection of X onto $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

Corollary 6.3. *Let v be an element of X, and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence defined by $y_1 \in X$ and (6.1), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $]0, 1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n^2 = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_n \lambda_n > 0.$$

If $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty, then both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{R}_{\lambda_n f}y_n\}$ are convergent to Pv, where P denotes the metric projection of X onto $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15K05007 and 25800094.

References

[1] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, and M. Toyoda, *Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space*, Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 2350–2360.
[2] K. Aoyama, F. Kohsaka, and W. Takahashi, *Proximal point methods for monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **15** (2011), 259–281.
[3] D. Ariza-Ruiz, L. Leuştean, and G. López-Acedo, *Firmly nonexpansive mappings in classes of geodesic spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 4299–4322.
[4] M. Bačák, *The proximal point algorithm in metric spaces*, Israel J. Math. **194** (2013), 689–701.
[5] ———, *Convex analysis and optimization in Hadamard spaces*, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.
[6] H. H. Bauschke and P. L. Combettes, *Convex analysis and monotone operator theory in Hilbert spaces*, Springer, New York, 2011.
[7] H. Brézis and P.-L. Lions, *Produits infinis de résolvantes*, Israel J. Math. **29** (1978), 329–345.
[8] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
[9] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas, *On the Douglas-Rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators*, Math. Programming **55** (1992), 293–318.
[10] R. Espínola and A. Fernández-León, CAT(k)*-spaces, weak convergence and fixed points*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **353** (2009), 410–427.
[11] R. Espínola and A. Nicolae, *Proximal minimization in* CAT(κ) *spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **17** (2016), 2329–2338.
[12] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform convexity, hyperbolic geometry, and nonexpansive mappings*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1984.
[13] O. Güler, *On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **29** (1991), 403–419.
[14] J. Jost, *Convex functionals and generalized harmonic maps into spaces of nonpositive curvature*, Comment. Math. Helv. **70** (1995), 659–673.
[15] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, *Approximating solutions of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **106** (2000), 226–240.
[16] W. S. Kendall, *Convexity and the hemisphere*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1991), 567–576.
[17] Y. Kimura and F. Kohsaka, *Spherical nonspreadingness of resolvents of convex functions in geodesic spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **18** (2016), 93–115.
[18] ———, *Two modified proximal point algorithms for convex functions in Hadamard spaces*, Linear Nonlinear Anal. **2** (2016), 69–86.
[19] ———, *The proximal point algorithm in geodesic spaces with curvature bounded above*, Linear Nonlinear Anal., to appear.
[20] Y. Kimura and S. Saejung, *Strong convergence for a common fixed point of two different generalizations of cutter operators*, Linear Nonlinear Anal. **1** (2015), 53–65.
[21] Y. Kimura, S. Saejung, and P. Yotkaew, *The Mann algorithm in a complete geodesic space with curvature bounded above*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2013), 2013:336, 1–13.
[22] Y. Kimura and K. Satô, *Convergence of subsets of a complete geodesic space with curvature bounded above*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 5079–5085.
[23] ———, *Halpern iteration for strongly quasinonexpansive mappings on a geodesic space with curvature bounded above by one*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2013), 2013:7, 1–14.
[24] W. A. Kirk and B. Panyanak, *A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 3689–3696.
[25] T. C. Lim, *Remarks on some fixed point theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1976), 179–182.
[26] B. Martinet, *Régularisation d'inéquations variationnelles par approximations successives*, Rev. Française Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle **4** (1970), 154–158.

[27] U. F. Mayer, *Gradient flows on nonpositively curved metric spaces and harmonic maps*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), 199–253.
[28] S. Ohta and M. Pálfia, *Discrete-time gradient flows and law of large numbers in Alexandrov spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), 1591–1610.
[29] R. T. Rockafellar, *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **14** (1976), 877–898.
[30] S. Saejung and P. Yotkaew, *Approximation of zeros of inverse strongly monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 742–750.
[31] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter, *Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in a Hilbert space*, Math. Program. **87** (2000), 189–202.
[32] T. Yokota, *Convex functions and barycenter on* CAT(1)*-spaces of small radii*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **68** (2016), 1297–1323.
[33] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to nonlinear and convex analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009.
[34] H.-K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **66** (2002), 240–256.

(Y. Kimura) Department of Information Science, Toho University, Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510, Japan
E-mail address: yasunori@is.sci.toho-u.ac.jp

(F. Kohsaka) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tokai University, Kitakaname, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa 259-1292, Japan
E-mail address: f-kohsaka@tsc.u-tokai.ac.jp